



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/735,495	12/14/2000	Peter Malm	040071-229	8377
21839 7	590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
BURNS DOA POST OFFICE	NE SWECKER & M	OSMAN, RAMY M		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	5:

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/735,495	MALM, PETER			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>	Ramy M Osman	2157			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Second 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	·			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 December 2000 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	re: a) \square accepted or b) \square objected or by accepted or by accepted in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. This action is responsive to the amendments filed on September 17, 2004. No claims were amended, added or cancelled. Claims 1-17 are pending.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed 9/17/2004, with respect to 1-14 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-14 has been withdrawn and are thus allowable.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-14 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record does not teach or define neither singly or in combination the claimed invention of compressing received data blocks that have failed a decoding process, storing the compressed data block, and combining it with an associated retransmitted data block.

Application/Control Number: 09/735,495 Page 3

Art Unit: 2157

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Antia et al (US Patent No 6,347,124).
- 8. In reference to claim 15, Antia teaches a method of compressing data blocks within a receiver of a communications system, comprising:

receiving data blocks in the receiver (column 1 lines 55-60);

calculating a scale factor that represents soft values within the received data block (column 1 lines 60-67 and column 2 lines 1-16); and

storing the scale factor and a sign of each soft value within the data block in memory (column 2 lines 17-21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2157

10. Claim 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Antia et al (US Patent No 6,347,124).

11. In reference to claim 16, Antia teaches the method according to claim 15. Antia teaches normalized scale factors (column 4 lines 45-67). Antia fails to explicitly teach wherein said soft values are logarithmically scaled values. However, "Official notice" is taken that logarithmically scaled values are well known in the art, as logarithms reduce value ranges to more manageable values.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Antia to make the soft values logarithmically scaled values because logarithms reduce value ranges to more manageable values.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 12. Claim 17 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The prior art of record does not teach or define neither singly or in combination the claimed invention of compressing data blocks by dividing the data blocks into a plurality of sub-blocks, wherein each sub-block is characterized by a substantially constant signal-to-interference and noise- ratio; calculating a plurality of scale factors, wherein each scale factor represents soft values within a corresponding sub-block; and storing the plurality of scale factors and a sign of

each soft value within the failed data block in memory. These limitations, incorporated into the independent claim 15 renders the claim allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramy M Osman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4008. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RMO January 19, 2005 Art Unit: 2157

ARIO ETIENNE

10111/150RY PATENT EXAMINER
101101-06V CENTER 2100